

Famous 5 File Funfax

Delete, almost all sources are episodes of the show. No out-of-universe notability is established. Ten Pound Hammer and his otters • 00:39, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e Rules of Acquisition (edit | talk | history | protect | delete | links | watch | logs | views) - (View AfD) afc812a31e The result was afc812a31e Keep - As the episodes of Star Trek have been seen by millions of people, they are all indisputably notable. The Rules of acquisition have come up again and again, and are a central aspect of the Ferengi way of life, and are prominently featured in dozens of episodes. They are also the basis for the title of a popular Star Trek book. This doesn't seem to satisfy the Wikipedia:Notability (fiction) guideline, but at this time the status of that guideline is under dispute - but more importantly, consensus trumps a guideline. Reliable sources is also a guideline, and the community can overrule it. It's not a trivia section as per WP:TRIVIA because the items listed forms a logical group. The article is not indiscriminate, as its subject matter is a specific well-known set of items. The consensus is overwhelmingly to keep, and no policies have been cited that are being violated by the article, as far as I can tell. The Transhumanist 04:04, 12 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e Guide to deletion afc812a31e And what of its lack of any reliable secondary sourcing, which is crucial to establishing notability? Judgesurreal777 (talk) 01:44, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e You are forgetting WP:RS, since the article has none. Besides, the one thing to keep, the actual writers of the rules for the show, is in the article on Ferengi, the rest of this list is totally unneeded. Judgesurreal777 (talk) 03:53, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e The following discussion is an archived debate of the proposed deletion of the article below. Please do not modify it. Subsequent comments should be made on the appropriate discussion page (such as the article's talk page or in a deletion review). No further edits should be made to this page. afc812a31e

Wikipedia:Articles for deletion/Log/2008 January 6 these books (a children's activity book, a poster book, a trivia book, a funfax and a glow-in-the-dark sticker book) pass the notability criteria for books < January 5 afc812a31e

Keep Passes WP:V, WP:NOR, WP:NPOV, and WP:NOT, ie actual policies. -- Masterzora (talk) 03:13, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e The article has very limited notability, and the useful information about who created the rules I have moved to the main Ferengi article. As the rest of this article is just a repetition of the Rules from the various television shows, it is duplicative with the plot sections of the various shows in which they were featured, and should be deleted. Judgesurreal777 (talk) 23:50, 6 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e January 7 > afc812a31e Keep. This fictional code of "ethics" has sources available to establish real world notability. the article is not in seriously bad shape to begin with. • Gene93k (talk) 00:17, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e Rules of Acquisition[edit] afc812a31e Delete and redirect to Ferengi. This is just a list of trivia. --EEMIV (talk) 02:24, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e Purge server cache afc812a31e Comment I'm uncomfortable with "almost all" sources being primary. Doesn't that mean that some sources are secondary? AnteaterZot (talk) 02:17, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e Note: This debate has been included in the list of Science fiction-related deletion discussions. —• Gene93k (talk) 00:34, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e You'll note that I only listed *actual policies*, which WP:RS isn't. The article does indeed pass WP:SOURCES, a section of WP:V, which I already listed it as passing. -- Masterzora (talk) 04:11, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e Keep. Article satisfies WP:V and WP:NOR. Although unnecessary to satisfy Wikipedia policy, concept has out-of-universe notability (for one thing, it was referenced in last year's HMC graduation speech). --Goobergunch|? 04:01, 7 January 2008 (UTC)reply afc812a31e No, it doesn't, as it has no sources other than the TV show, which is inadequate. We need multiple out of universe references, otherwise there is no need for a whole article separate from the Ferengi article. Judgesu... afc812a31e

https://mobile.expo-x.com/~m/ref/link?KINDLE=le_droit_douanier_au_maroc.pdf
https://mobile.expo-x.com/=e/book/find?MD=macroeconomics_lesson-8-activity-33-answers.pdf
https://mobile.expo-x.com/=f/ebook/dl?ITUNE=le_reich-allemand_de_bismarck-agrave_hitler_histoire.pdf
[https://mobile.expo-x.com/\\$y/doc/slug?PLAY=minecraft_official-guide-book.pdf](https://mobile.expo-x.com/$y/doc/slug?PLAY=minecraft_official-guide-book.pdf)
[https://mobile.expo-x.com/\\$y/edu/go?DOC=nissan-fd42_engine.pdf](https://mobile.expo-x.com/$y/edu/go?DOC=nissan-fd42_engine.pdf)
https://mobile.expo-x.com/-m/doc/key?PUB=ledro_tremosine_e_il_garda.pdf
https://mobile.expo-x.com/-m/book/exe?PPT=le_cordon_bleu-patisserie_baking_foundations_classic_recipes.pdf
https://mobile.expo-x.com/+r/pdf/niche?PUB=le_manoir_des_immortels-t1-le-destin_dune_deacuteesse.pdf